THE FRIENDLY GUIDE TO RELEASE 5 FOR LIBRARIANS

This guide is a non-intimidating manual for librarians.

Tasha Mellins-Cohen
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2. INTRODUCTION

This Guide is a friendly introduction to the COUNTER Code of Practice, Release 5, for librarians and other users. It is a counterpart to The Friendly Guide to Release 5 for Providers.

Release 5 of the COUNTER Code of Practice is designed to balance changing reporting needs with the need to make things simpler, so that all content providers can achieve compliance and librarians can have usage statistics that are credible, consistent and comparable. For more information, please refer to the full Code of Practice.

2.1 WHAT IS COUNTER?

COUNTER stands for Counting Online Usage of NeTworked Electronic Resources. Our website is at http://www.projectcounter.org/.

COUNTER was one of the first, if not the first, standards organisation established for the modern information environment. It has succeeded in bringing together a collaboration of publishers and librarians to develop and maintain the standard for counting the use of electronic resources. It has also ensured that most major publishers and vendors are compliant by providing their library customers around the world with COUNTER usage statistics.

COUNTER publishes the Code of Practice, which is the standard for counting the use of electronic resources, and a register of COUNTER-compliant vendors and publishers. Release 5 of the Code of Practice, which is the subject of this Friendly Guide, is subject to continuous maintenance. As the Release changes, this Guide will be updated.

2.2 WHO USES COUNTER REPORTS?

The COUNTER standard was originally developed to provide a service to librarians and other people who purchase subscriptions to publishers’ content. The intention was to allow librarians to easily compare their usage across different publishers’ content, and let them use that information to calculate a cost-per-download for their subscriptions. COUNTER reports were not originally intended to be used by publishers as a way of measuring usage across their client base, but are increasingly being used for that purpose.

Academic libraries across the world use COUNTER reports to:

- Inform renewal decisions or new purchasing decisions based on data about usage and access denials
- Inform faculty about the value of the library and its resources
- Understand user behavior and improve user experiences
Most major vendors and publishers also use COUNTER reports to:
- Provide reliable and consistent usage data to their customers
- Upsell using COUNTER data about access denials
- Inform editors and authors about the usage of their publications

2.3 HOW YOU CAN TELL WHEN A PUBLISHER IS COUNTER COMPLIANT

To become COUNTER compliant, publishers and vendors must undergo an independent audit of their COUNTER reports within six months of signing the Declaration of COUNTER Compliance and annually thereafter, though very small publishers may request permission to be audited every other year. All publishers and vendors who have passed their audits are listed on the COUNTER website and issued with a dated logo confirming their COUNTER compliance.

2.4 HOW IS COUNTER FUNDED AND ORGANIZED?

COUNTER is a not-for-profit membership organization, funded by membership fees and sponsorship.

The membership – publishers, vendors and librarians – lead COUNTER. A Board of Directors has oversight of the financial matters and appoints the Executive Committee to oversee the operation. A Project Director, reporting to the Executive Committee, is responsible for the day-to-day management of COUNTER. The publisher, intermediary and librarian communities are all represented on the Board and on the Executive Committee, as well as on the Technical Advisory Board.
3. COUNTER METRICS

This section of the guide identifies and explains the complete list of metric types included in Release 5. There is also a brief summary of the new attributes associated with Release 5, which are designed to provide flexibility and eliminate the need for special reports.

3.1 USAGE

There are several different types of usage metric in Release 5, which break down into investigations and requests.

An investigation is tracked when a user performs any action in relation to a content item or title, while a request is specifically related to viewing or downloading the full content item (see Figure 1).

**Investigations**

- ‘Total_Item_Investigations’: the total number of times a content item or information related to a content item was accessed.
- ‘Unique_Item_Investigations’: the number of unique content items (e.g. chapters) investigated by a user.
- ‘Unique_Title_Investigations’: the number of unique book titles investigated by a user.

**Requests**

- ‘Total_Item_Requests’: the total number of times the full text of a content item was downloaded or viewed.
- ‘Unique_Item_Requests’: the number of unique content items (e.g. chapters) requested by a user.
- Unique_Title_Requests: the number of unique book titles requested by a user.

![Figure 1: The relationship between “Investigations” and “Requests”](image-url)
**SCENARIO**

Camford purchase two journal subscriptions for 2015: Journal X for £25,000 and Journal Y for £10,000. At the end of the year Camford’s librarian, Barbara, runs a Release 5 TR_J1 report to check the fulltext usage of each journal, excluding Open Access articles. Journal X is showing 60,000 Unique_Item_Requests, compared with just 200 for Journal Y. Barbara therefore tells her Head Librarian that while Journal X is more expensive, it has a better cost-per-download.

The calculation looks like this:

- Journal X £25,000 / 60,000 = £0.42 per Unique_Item_Request
- Journal Y £10,000 / 200 = £50 per Unique_Item_Request

If Camford must choose a journal to cancel, it’s likely to be Journal Y.

---

**SCENARIO**

Susan is researching the history of antibiotics on Publisher Platform Alpha. From a list of search results she opens three article abstracts and a video record. All four records are different, but two of the articles are from the same journal. The counts are:

- Total_Investigations: 4
- Unique_Item_Investigations: 4
- Unique_Title_Investigations: 0
- Total_Requests: 0
- Unique_Item_Requests: 0
- Unique_Title_Requests: 0

After reading the abstracts, Susan downloads the PDFs for two of the articles, both from the same journal. The counts change to:

- Total_Investigations: 6
- Unique_Item_Investigations: 4
- Unique_Title_Investigations: 0
- Total_Requests: 2
- Unique_Item_Requests: 2
- Unique_Title_Requests: 0

From a cost-per-download perspective, Barbara should count the two Unique_Item_Requests.
3.2 ACCESS DENIALS

Access denials are sometimes known as turnaways. Two varieties of access denial metric are tracked in Release 5:

- ‘No_License’: counted where a user is unable to access a unique content item because their institution does not have a license to the content.

- ‘Limit_Exceeded’: counted where a user is unable to access a unique content item because their institution’s cap on the number of simultaneous users has been exceeded.

Both No_License and Limit_Exceeded apply when a user has successfully investigated an item, but has not been able to complete a request.

**SCENARIO**

Susan is researching the history of antibiotics on Publisher Platform Alpha. From a list of search results, she opens three article abstracts and two video records. Her institution has not subscribed to the video database and she is therefore denied access. The counts are:

- Total_Item_Investigations: 5
- Unique_Item_Investigations: 5
- No_License: 2
- Limit_Exceeded: 0

Susan repeats her attempt to access one of the video records five minutes later. The counts are:

- Total_Item_Investigations: 6
- Unique_Item_Investigations: 5
- No_License: 3
- Limit_Exceeded: 0

High No_License counts may suggest to Barbara that she should investigate the costs of subscribing to the video database.

**SCENARIO**

Susan is researching the history of antibiotics on Publisher Platform Alpha. From a list of search results, she opens three article abstracts and two video records. Her institution has a concurrency-limited subscription to the video database, and Susan’s usage exceeds that cap. The counts are:

- Total_Item_Investigations: 5
3.3 SEARCHES

There are four different types of search metric in Release 5:

- ‘Searches_Regualr’: the number of times a user searches a database, where they have actively chosen that database from a list of options OR there is only one database available to search.
- ‘Searches_Automated’: the number of times a user searches a database, where they have not actively chosen that database from a list of options. That is, Searches_Automated is recorded when the platform offers a search across multiple databases by default, and the user has not elected to limit their search to a subset of those databases.
- ‘Searches_Platform’: the number of times a user searches a database, regardless of the number of databases involved in the search.
- ‘Searches_Federated’: the number of times a search is run remotely through an API.

**SCENARIO**

Susan is researching the history of antibiotics on Publisher Platform Alpha. She runs a search for “history AND antibiotics”. The counts are:

- Searches_Regualr: 1
- Searches_Automated: 0
- Searches_Platform: 1
- Searches_Federated: 0

For a database like this, the cost per use calculation may be more dependent on searches than on fulltext downloads – this is dependent on library practice.
SCENARIO

Susan is researching the history of antibiotics on Publisher Platform Alpha, which includes multiple databases. She runs a search for “history AND antibiotics”. The counts are:

- Searches Regular: 0
- Searches Automated: 1
- Searches Platform: 1
- Searches Federated: 0

Susan then selects the ‘History of Medicine’ database and reruns her search. The counts are:

- Searches Regular: 1
- Searches Automated: 1
- Searches Platform: 2
- Searches Federated: 0

In a separate session, Susan uses an API to search Publisher Platform Alpha. The count for that activity is:

- Searches Regular: 0
- Searches Automated: 0
- Searches Platform: 0
- Searches Federated: 1

3.4 ATTRIBUTES, ELEMENTS, AND OTHER (SLIGHTLY) TECHY THINGS

Release 5 of the COUNTER Code of Practice has added a series of elements and attributes to our longer-standing metrics. These help to provide much more granular information in an organized way, as well as letting the COUNTER team maintain and amend the Code of Practice over time.

- Data Type: used to group content at the level of the Title.
- Section Type: applies where Data Types are delivered in small sub-units (e.g. journal articles).
- Access Type: used to determine whether content was Open Access or not.
- Access Method: applies when a Host allows Text and Data Mining (TDM) of their content, and is able to distinguish TDM activity from all other activity.
- YOP: stands for Year of Publication, the four-digit year in which the Version of Record was published.

For more information about Attributes and Elements, please see the Code of Practice.
4. COUNTER MASTER REPORTS AND STANDARD VIEWS

Release 5 of the COUNTER Code of Practice includes four Master Reports covering a very wide spectrum of activities:

- Platform Master Report
- Database Master Report
- Title Master Report
- Item Master Report

For ease of use each of the Master Reports is associated with one or more summaries of particular types of activity, such as usage or access denials, called Standard Views. While you can filter a Master Report to show a Standard View (or a custom view to suit your needs), Standard Views only hold a subset of the information from a Master Report; it is therefore not possible to ‘unfilter’ a Standard View to obtain its parent Master Report.

As shown in the examples below, each Master Report includes all of the COUNTER metrics described above, covering investigations and requests, access denials, and searches, supplemented with a variety of attributes.

KEY POINTS

The set of Master Reports provided by a publisher or vendor will depend on their platform; for more information, see the full Code of Practice.

All Master Reports can be filtered based on particular attributes, usually YOP, Data_Type, Access_Type, Access_Method, and Metric_Type. For more details please see the Code of Practice.

It is also possible to exclude the month-by-month breakdown of activity and show only the total activity for the whole reporting period.

For more details, please see the Code of Practice.
## 4.1 REPORT HEADERS

The tabular versions of Release 5 reports have a common format, which looks like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report_Name</td>
<td>Name of the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report_ID</td>
<td>Identifier of the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution_Name</td>
<td>Name of the institution usage is attributed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution_ID</td>
<td>Identifier(s) for the institution usage is attributed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric_Types</td>
<td>Semicolon-space delimited list of metric types included in the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report_Filters</td>
<td>Semicolon-space delimited list of filters applied to the data to generate the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report_Attributes</td>
<td>Semicolon-space delimited list of attributes applied to the data to generate the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptions</td>
<td>Any exceptions that occurred in generating the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting_Period</td>
<td>Date range covered by the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Created</td>
<td>Date the report was run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Created_By</td>
<td>Name of organization or system that generated the report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;row left blank&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **PLATFORM REPORTS**

All publishers and vendors must provide a Platform Master Report (PR) showing activity across all metrics for entire platforms. There is one Standard View for the PR. What does a PR look like?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR_P1</td>
<td>Platform Usage</td>
<td>A pre-set Standard View of PR showing total and unique item requests, as well as platform searches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PR is a relatively compact report, only five columns across (Platform, Data_Type, Access_Method, Metric_Type, and Reporting_Period_Total) plus monthly breakdowns. Every metric type should be included, but for the purposes of this guide only a subset is shown in the example below.

Example: a PR has been generated for Publisher Platform Alpha (PPα) covering the period from 01 January to 30 June 2017, for Sample University. In this case, users from Sample University have investigated a series of journal and book items but have not attempted to access any fulltext: this means that while there are 15 investigations in total, of 11 unique items, there are no requests in the report. Users have also been denied access to a database on three occasions during the report period.

http://bit.ly/2EYza4n

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Data_Type</th>
<th>Access_Method</th>
<th>Metric_Type</th>
<th>Reporting_Period_Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Total_Item_Investigations</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Item_Investigations</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Title_Investigations</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Book</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Total_Item_Investigations</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Book</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Item_Investigations</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Book</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Title_Investigations</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>No_License</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. DATABASE REPORTS

Database Master Reports (DR) show activity across all metrics for entire databases or fixed collections of content which behave like a database. The DR can be filtered according to user needs, and has two Standard Views.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR_D1</td>
<td>Database Search and Item Usage</td>
<td>A pre-set Standard View of DR showing total item investigations and requests, as well as searches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_D2</td>
<td>Database Access Denied</td>
<td>A pre-set Standard View of DR showing where users were denied access because simultaneous use (concurrency) licenses were exceeded, or their institution did not have a license for the database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1 WHAT DOES A DR LOOK LIKE?

DRs are less compact than PRs, with nine columns across plus monthly breakdowns. In addition to the columns in PR (Platform, Data_Type, Access_Method, Metric_Type, and Reporting_Period_Total), DR shows the database name within the platform and the publisher details.

Again, every metric type should be included, but for the purposes of this Guide only a subset is shown in the example below.

Example: a DR has been generated for the Multimedia database on Publisher Platform Alpha (PPα) covering the period from 01 January to 30 June 2017, for Sample University. In this case, users from Sample University have investigated eight items in the database, and requested the full record for three of those items. There is also a record of one search of the database during the report period.

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1fsF_JCuOe1Us9s_cvu7x_Yn8FNsi5xK0CR3bu2X_dVI/edit#gid=306697142

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>Publisher_ID</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Proprietary_ID</th>
<th>Data_Type</th>
<th>Access_Type</th>
<th>Access_Method</th>
<th>Metric_Type</th>
<th>Reporting_Period_Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiuaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Total_Item_Investigations</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Item_Investigations</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Title_Investigations</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Total_Item_Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Item_Requests</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Unique_Title_Requests</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>ahgoiaryg</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Searches.Regular</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Title Master Report (TR) shows activity across all metrics for entire titles, which may be books or journals. The TR can be filtered according to user needs and has seven Standard Views. In the case of TR, the Standard Views apply to different Host Types – for example, an eJournal host does not need to provide TR_B1. TR has an additional filter, Section_Type, in addition to the five which apply to all of the Master Reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Host Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR_B1</td>
<td>Book Requests (excluding OA_Gold)</td>
<td>A pre-set book Standard View of TR showing full-text activity for all content that is not Gold Open Access. Numbers of Unique_Item_Requests may vary between sites, will vary based on whether the content is delivered as a complete book or by chapter, but the Unique_Title_Requests will be the same regardless of delivery mechanism.</td>
<td>Aggregated Full Content, eBook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_B2</td>
<td>Book Access Denied</td>
<td>A pre-set book Standard View of TR showing where users were denied access to books because simultaneous use (concurrency) licenses were exceeded, or their institution did not have a license for the database</td>
<td>eBook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_B3</td>
<td>Book Usage by Access Type</td>
<td>A pre-set book Standard View of TR showing all applicable metric types broken down by Access_Type</td>
<td>Aggregated Full Content, eBook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_J1</td>
<td>Journal Requests (Excluding OA_Gold)</td>
<td>A pre-set journal Standard View of TR showing full-text activity for all content that is not Gold Open Access</td>
<td>Aggregated Full Content, eJournal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_J2</td>
<td>Journal Access Denied</td>
<td>A pre-set journal Standard View of TR showing where users were denied access to journals because their institution did not have a license for the content, or simultaneous use (concurrency) licenses were exceeded</td>
<td>eJournal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_J3</td>
<td>Journal Usage by Access Type</td>
<td>A pre-set journal Standard View of TR showing all applicable metric types broken down by Access_Type</td>
<td>Aggregated Full Content, eJournal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_J4</td>
<td>Journal Requests by YOP (Excluding OA_Gold)</td>
<td>A pre-set journal Standard View of TR breaking down the full-text usage of non-Gold Open Access content by year of publication (YOP)</td>
<td>Aggregated Full Content, eJournal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You’ll have noticed that many of these Standard Views exclude Gold Open Access content (the OA_Gold variant of the Access_Type attribute). Investigations and requests for Gold_OA articles are included in the Title Master Report, which will be useful if you want to see what proportion of usage from Hybrid journals is from OA_Gold articles and what proportion is from articles funded by subscription. The other variant of Access_Type is Controlled, which covers subscription content, free-to-read articles, and articles made open after an embargo period.

**SCENARIO**

Barbara wants to assess the usage from Journal X. She’d like to know what the total usage is, and how much of that usage is for OA_Gold articles. She therefore downloads the Title Master Report (TR). This shows:

- **Access_Type:** Controlled / **Unique_Item_Requests:** 49
- **Access_Type:** OA_Gold / **Unique_Item_Requests:** 18

Barbara then filters the TR using the YOP (Year of Publication) column, to eliminate the current year and show only articles in her perpetual access backfiles. The TR shows:

- **Access_Type:** Controlled / **Unique_Item_Requests:** 18
- **Access_Type:** OA_Gold / **Unique_Item_Requests:** 3

### 7.1 WHAT DOES A TR LOOK LIKE?

TRs are highly detailed and therefore quite lengthy. As well as the core information from the PR (Platform, Data_Type, Access_Method, Metric_Type, and Reporting_Period_Total), TR shows the title name and identifiers, the publisher details, Access_Type, Section_Type, and the year of publication (YOP), for a total of 17 columns plus monthly breakdowns.

Again, every metric type should be included, but for the purposes of this guide only a subset is shown in the example below.

Example: a TR has been generated for Journal Six on Publisher Platform Alpha (PPα) covering the period from 01 January to 30 June 2017, for Sample University. In this case, users from Sample University have investigated eight articles, and requested the fulltext for three of those articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Publisher</th>
<th>Publisher_ID</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>DOI</th>
<th>Proprietary_ID</th>
<th>ISBN</th>
<th>Online_ISSN</th>
<th>URI</th>
<th>Data_Type</th>
<th>Section_Type</th>
<th>YOP</th>
<th>Access_Type</th>
<th>Access_Method</th>
<th>Metric_Type</th>
<th>Reporting_Period_Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal Six</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>10.1000/xyz123</td>
<td>xyz123</td>
<td>1110987654321</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Total_ Item_ Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Six</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>10.1000/xyz123</td>
<td>xyz123</td>
<td>1110987654321</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Unique_ Item_ Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Six</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>10.1000/xyz123</td>
<td>xyz123</td>
<td>1110987654321</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unique_ Title_ Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Six</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>10.1000/xyz123</td>
<td>xyz123</td>
<td>1110987654321</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total_ Item_ Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Six</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>10.1000/xyz123</td>
<td>xyz123</td>
<td>1110987654321</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unique_ Item_ Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal Six</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1234_gam</td>
<td>PPα</td>
<td>10.1000/xyz123</td>
<td>xyz123</td>
<td>1110987654321</td>
<td>Journal Article</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unique_ Title_ Requests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. ITEM MASTER REPORT

An Item Master Report (IR) shows activity across all metrics for single items, such as articles or videos. IR can be filtered according to user needs, and has two Standard Views. IR has an additional filter, Section_Type, in addition to the five which apply to all of the Master Reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR_A1</td>
<td>Journal Article Requests</td>
<td>A pre-set Standard View of IR showing total item requests for journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR_M1</td>
<td>Multimedia Item Requests</td>
<td>A pre-set Standard View of IR showing total item requests for multimedia items</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.1 WHAT DOES AN IR LOOK LIKE?

An IR contains so much detail that it is not possible to show an example report here; visit [http://bit.ly/2n0w34m](http://bit.ly/2n0w34m) to see an example. The column heads associated with an IR cover the item itself, its parent, and its component parts, and appear in the IR in this order:

- Item
- Publisher
- Publisher_ID
- Platform
- Authors
- Publication_Date
- Article_Version
- DOI
- Proprietary_ID
- ISBN
- Print_ISSN
- Online_ISSN
- URI
- Parent_Title
- Parent_Authors
- Parent_Publication_Date
- Parent-article_Version
- Parent_Data_Type
- Parent_DOI
- Parent_Proprietary_ID
- Parent_ISBN
- Parent_Print_ISSN
- Parent_Online_ISSN
- Parent_URI
- Component_Title
- Component_Authors
- Component_Data_Type
- Component_DOI
- Component_Proprietary_ID
- Component_ISBN
- Component_Print_ISSN
- Component_Online_ISSN
- Component_URI
- Data_Type
- Section_Type
- YOP
- Access_Type
- Access_Method
- Metric_Type
- Reporting_Period_Total
- MMM-yyyy
## 9. COMPARING RELEASE 5 TO RELEASE 4

This section provides a mapping of the key Release 4 reports to their Release 5 counterparts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release 5</th>
<th>Release 4 reports covered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR_P1: Platform Usage</td>
<td>Book Report 4: Access Denied to Content items by Month, Platform, and Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platform Report 1: Total Searches, Result Clicks, and Record Views by Month and Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_D1: Database Search and Item Usage</td>
<td>Database Report 1: Total Searches, Result Clicks, and Record Views by Month and Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Journal Report 4: Total Searches Run by Month and Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multimedia Report 1: Number of Successful Full Multimedia Content Unit Requests by Month and Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_D2: Database Access Denied</td>
<td>Database Report 2: Access Denied by Month, Database, and Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR: Title Master Report</td>
<td>Book Report 2: Number of Successful Section Requests by Month and Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Journal Report 3: Number of Successful Item Requests by Month, Journal, and Page-type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Journal Report 5: Number of Successful Full-Text Article Requests by Year of Publication (YOP) and Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title Report 1: Number of Successful Requests for Journal Full-Text Articles and Book Sections by Month and Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title Report 2: Access Denied to Full-Text Items by Month, Title, and Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_B1: Book Requests (Excluding OA_Gold)</td>
<td>Book Report 1: Number of Successful Title Requests by Month and Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Book Report 2: Number of Successful Section Requests by Month and Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Book Report 7: Number of Successful Unique Title Requests by Month and Title in a Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR_B2: Book Access Denied</td>
<td>Book Report 3: Access Denied to Content Items by Month, Title, and Category</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you are used to using Release 4’s BR1 and BR2 reports to assess book usage, you should now use the TR_B1 report to obtain comparable statistics. The Unique_Title_Requests metric in TR_B1 will tell you the usage for each book, whether the platform delivers whole books or individual chapters.

### 9.1 ELIMINATED REPORTS

The three Mobile reports (Journal Report 3 Mobile, Title Report 1 Mobile, and Title Report 3 Mobile) have been eliminated because few platforms now offer a bespoke Mobile view, relying instead on responsive design.

Release 5 also eliminates Consortium reports because their size makes creating and consuming consortium reports impractical. Consortia should use SUSHI to harvest individual reports for each member; in the longer term, COUNTER will facilitate the creation of tools that will further simplify this process so that obtaining consortial usage is a one-step process.

The other thing that has been removed from Release 5 Master Reports and Standard Views is zero usage. For technical reasons, not all publishers or vendors are able to determine for which titles and date ranges zero usage would have to be included in their reports. If their systems can deliver this information, they may choose to offer customized reports including zero usage. COUNTER has created a demonstrator in Excel to show how KBart files can be incorporated with Release 5 reports to show titles with zero usage. The can be viewed here: [http://bit.ly/2F37QBR](http://bit.ly/2F37QBR)
10. PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

This section walks through a scenario and uses the information to put together a set of COUNTER Release 5 reports.

10.1 ABOUT THE PLATFORM

Publisher Platform Alpha (PPα) hosts a combination of materials: 100 fulltext journals, 750 fulltext books, and a multimedia database. This means that PPα falls under several Host Types: Aggregated Full Content, eBooks, eJournals, and Multimedia Collection.

Given the Host Types, we know that PPα needs to provide all four of the Master Reports and all of the Standard Views.

10.2 ABOUT THE SUBSCRIBING INSTITUTION

Institution Omega subscribes to the entire journals list on PPα, as well as the multimedia database. They do not subscribe to the books list.

10.3 SCENARIO: SUSAN’S ACTIVITY

Susan is researching the history of antibiotics on PPα. She runs a search across the entire platform (that is, she does not limit her search to the multimedia database).

From the list of search results, Susan opens the following items:

- 2 article abstracts from Journal of Antibiotics are Fun
- 1 article abstract from Journal of Medical Historical Trivia
- 1 video from the multimedia database
- 1 chapter abstract from The Big Book of Medical Marvels
- 1 book abstract of A Medical History Reference

This activity triggers a whole series of investigation metrics, as well as some access denials. Note that because Susan’s institution has no license to access book content, Release 5 counts two access denials even though she has only attempted to access the abstracts at this point.
After reading the abstracts, Susan triggers additional investigation and access denial metrics, as well as some request metrics, by:

- Downloading 2 article full-text PDFs from Journal of Antibiotics are Fun
- Watching 1 video from the multimedia database
- Attempting to download 1 chapter PDF from The Big Book of Medical Marvels

Note that Susan’s attempt to download a chapter from The Big Book of Medical Marvels counts as an investigation but does not count as a request. This is because her institution has not licensed books, and therefore her access is denied.

## 10.4 IN SUMMARY

Collecting all of this together, Susan’s session on PPα results in a whole series of metrics which will be available to her librarian through any of the four Master Reports, or six Standard Views, for delivery to Institution Omega.
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